UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HIRAM NOEL MENDEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

REGINALD J. JOHNSON; STEPHEN A. RONCO; SPENCER GUERRERO; TINA CARDINALE; BELLE BOWEN; OFFICER DYCKTRA; OFFICER SANTOS,

Defendants.

22-CV-6811 (LTS)
ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, invokes the Court's federal question jurisdiction, alleging that Defendants violated his civil rights. The Court construes the complaint as asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Named as Defendants are City of Peekskill Judge Reginald J. Johnson; Assistant District Attorney (ADA) Stephen A. Ronco; City of Peekskill Clerk of Court Spenser Guerrero; City of Peekskill Chief Clerk Belle Bowen; ¹ Tina Cardinale; and Officers Dycktra and Santos. By order dated August 10, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP), that is, without prepayment of fees.

For the reasons set forth below, the Court dismisses Plaintiff's claims against Judge Johnson, Clerk of Court Guerrero, and Chief Clerk Bowen, and grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint with respect to the remaining defendants within 60 days of the date of this order.

¹ Plaintiff sometimes lists this defendant's last name as "Bowmen."

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

BACKGROUND

The complaint alleges that Plaintiff's claims arose on January 12, 2020, in Peekskill, New York. The "facts" section of the complaint states in its entirety:²

I bealieve and do believe that I have concerning fiduciary relationships in the matter of HIRAM NOEL MENDEZ Estate Peekskill City Court claimed to be fiduciary's trustees over one's estate and they're also claiming to be beneficiaries so they're collecting on the estate I'm standing in there courts venue being auctioned off without my knowledge or concent or authorization and at the same time they're collecting. They want me to pay whether it's in my physical being or

² Plaintiff writes using irregular capitalization. For readability, the Court uses standard capitalization when quoting from the complaint. The Court otherwise quotes from the complaint verbatim and all grammar, spelling and punctuation are as in the original.

FRN what have you [F]ederal Reserve Notes. I do not wish to bring liability issues upon that court however my life has been place in jeopardy. I am being targeted and I require an advocate and the underline subject here is I don't want to be a party of any tax fraud. Nunc pro tunc.

(ECF 2, at 5.)

Plaintiff describes his injuries as the following: "I had to go to the hospital because my handcuff I mean there had cuffs hurt me so bad I was bleading I needed to get professional help."

(Id. at 6.)

In the section of the complaint form to state the relief he is seeking, Plaintiff writes,

I demand compensation from the state in the amount of \$240,000.00 for the commercial injuries I have sustained from the loss of my property, loss of time from work and cost certify mailings and affidavit notice of man's and parties involved the cost of filing and according as well the expensive and curve traveling as resolved to being deprived of use my private property automobile.

(*Id*.)

DISCUSSION

Because Plaintiff states that Defendants violated his civil rights, the Court construes the complaint as asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under Section, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

A. Judicial and Quasi-Judicial Immunity

The Court dismisses Plaintiff's claims against Judge Johnson, Clerk of Court Guerrero, and Chief Clerk Bowen. Judges are absolutely immune from suit for damages for any actions taken within the scope of their judicial responsibilities. *Mireles v. Waco*, 502 U.S. 9, 11 (1991). Generally, "acts arising out of, or related to, individual cases before the judge are considered judicial in nature." *Bliven v. Hunt*, 579 F.3d 204, 210 (2d Cir. 2009). "Even allegations of bad

faith or malice cannot overcome judicial immunity." *Id.* (citations omitted). This is because, "[w]ithout insulation from liability, judges would be subject to harassment and intimidation" *Young v. Selsky*, 41 F.3d 47, 51 (2d Cir. 1994). In addition, Section 1983, as amended in 1996, provides that "in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable." 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Judicial immunity does not apply when the judge takes action "outside" his judicial capacity, or when the judge takes action that, although judicial in nature, is taken "in absence of jurisdiction." *Mireles*, 502 U.S. at 9-10; *see also Bliven*, 579 F.3d at 209-10 (describing actions that are judicial in nature). But "the scope of [a] judge's jurisdiction must be construed broadly where the issue is the immunity of the judge." *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 356 (1978).

This immunity also applies to government officials, including clerks of court and other court employees, for their acts that assist a judge in the performance of his or her judicial duties. *See Cleavinger v. Saxner*, 474 U.S. 193, 200 (1985); *Montero v. Travis*, 171 F.3d 757, 760 (2d Cir. 1999); *see also Ali v. Pollak*, 182 F.3d 898 (2d Cir. 1999) (unpublished opinion) (extending judicial immunity to a pro se law clerk); *Oliva v. Heller*, 839 F.2d 37, 39-40 (2d Cir. 1988) (extending judicial immunity to a judge's law clerk); *Chmura v. Norton, Hammersley, Lopez & Skokos Inverso PA*, No. 3:17-CV-2164, 2018 WL 2138631, at *2 (D. Conn. May 9, 2018) (extending judicial immunity to a clerk of court); *Manko v. Ruchelsman*, No. 12-CV-4100, 2012 WL 4034038, *2 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 10, 2012) (same), *appeal dismissed*, 12-4080 (2d Cir. Jan. 31, 2013); *Gibson v. Brown*, No. 12-CV-0622, 2012 WL 1744845, at *4-5 (E.D.N.Y. May 16, 2012) (extending judicial immunity to a pro se writ clerk), *appeal dismissed*, No. 12-2748 (2d Cir. Dec. 20, 2012).

Although the factual basis is not entirely clear, it appears that Plaintiff's claims against Johnson, Guerrero, and Bowen arise from actions taken with respect to a case before Judge Johnson in the Peekskill City Court. Plaintiff fails to allege any facts showing that these defendants acted beyond the scope of their judicial responsibilities or outside their jurisdiction. *See Mireles*, 509 U.S. at 11-12. Because Plaintiff sues Johnson, Guerrero, and Bowen for "acts arising out of, or related to, individual cases before" Judge Johnson, these defendants are immune from suit for such claims. *Bliven*, 579 F.3d at 210. The Court therefore dismisses Plaintiff's claims against Johnson, Guerrero, and Bowen because they seek monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii), and, consequently, as frivolous, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). *See Mills v. Fischer*, 645 F.3d 176, 177 (2d Cir. 2011) ("Any claim dismissed on the ground of absolute judicial immunity is 'frivolous' for purposes of [the *in forma pauperis* statute].").

B. Claims against ADA Ronco

Plaintiff also asserts claims against ADA Ronco. However, prosecutors are immune from civil suits for damages for acts committed within the scope of their official duties where the challenged activities are not investigative in nature but, rather, are "intimately associated with the judicial phase of the criminal process." *Giraldo v. Kessler*, 694 F.3d 161, 165 (2d Cir. 2012) (quoting *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 430 (1976)); *see also Buckley v. Fitzsimmons*, 509 U.S. 259, 269 (1993) (absolute immunity is analyzed under a "functional approach" that "looks to the nature of the function performed, not the identity of the actor who performed it" (internal quotation marks and citations omitted)). In addition, prosecutors are absolutely immune from suit for acts that may be administrative obligations but are "directly connected with the conduct of a trial." *Van de Kamp v. Goldstein*, 555 U.S. 335, 344 (2009); *see also Ogunkoya v. Monaghan*, 913 F.3d 64, 70-72 (2d Cir. 2019) (holding that ADAs' direction as to where criminal defendant

would be arraigned was in preparation for a court proceeding in which the prosecutors were acting as advocates, and ADAs were therefore shielded by absolute immunity (citing, *inter alia*, *Van de Kamp*)).

Because the complaint does not allege any facts explaining how ADA Ronco allegedly violated Plaintiff's rights, the Court is unable to determine whether prosecutorial immunity applies. If Plaintiff believes that Ronco has committed acts outside of the scope of his official duties as a prosecutor that have violated Plaintiff's rights, Plaintiff may reassert his claims against Ronco in the amended complaint, and Plaintiff must allege facts suggesting that prosecutorial immunity does not apply.

C. Claims against Dycktra, Santos, and Cardinale

Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true.

**Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true

"[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id.**

Here, Plaintiff does not allege facts suggesting a plausible Section 1983 claim against Officer Dycktra, Officer Santos, or Tina Cardinale. Plaintiff names the two police officers as defendants and states that he was bleeding due to handcuffs, but he does not allege any facts explaining how these two defendants were personally involved in causing such injuries.

Furthermore, Plaintiff names Tina Cardinale as a defendant in the caption, but the complaint does not allege any facts regarding Cardinale, including who she is or how she was personally involved in violating Plaintiff's rights. The Court therefore grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint that alleges facts suggesting that Officer Dycktra, Officer Santos, and Tine Cardinale violated his rights.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because it is not clear at this point that amendment would be futile, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his Section 1983 claims against ADA Ronco, Tina Cardinale, and Officers Dycktra and Santos. If Plaintiff chooses to reassert his claims against Ronco, he must allege facts suggesting that Ronco has committed acts outside of the scope of his official duties as a prosecutor that have violated Plaintiff's rights.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to

consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Court dismisses Plaintiff's claims against Johnson, Guerrero, and Bowen because they seek monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii), and, consequently, as frivolous, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). *See Mills*, 645 F.3d at 177 ("Any claim dismissed on the ground of absolute judicial immunity is 'frivolous' for purposes of [the *in forma pauperis* statute].").

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-6811 (LTS). An Amended Civil Rights

Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to

comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the

complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates

good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

August 22, 2022

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

9

			RICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK	-		
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).) -against-				AMENDED COMPLAINT under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983		
				Jury Trial: _	□ Yes □ No (check one)	
				Civ	()	
canno please additi listed	ot fit the name write "see onal sheet of in the above	es of all attach of paper e captio	he full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you led the defendants in the space provided, ed" in the space above and attach an with the full list of names. The names in must be identical to those contained in not be included here.)	_		
I.	Parties	in this	complaint:			
A.		nent. I	e, identification number, and the name and to the same for any additional plaintiffs name	-	=	
Plain		Curren Addres	t Institutions			
В.	may be s	served.	nts' names, positions, places of employment, Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below Attach additional sheets of paper as necessar	are identical to t		
Defendant No. 1		1	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress			

Case 1:22-cv-06811-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/22/22 Page 11 of 16

Defendant 1	110. 2	Name	
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defendant 1	No. 3	NameWhere Currently Employed	Shield #
		Address	
Defendent	N - 4	N	
Defendant	No. 4	Name	
		Where Currently EmployedAddress	
Defendant 1	No. 5	Name	
Defendant	140. 3	Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your	is complaish to inclucion claims.	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how eint is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other po not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and	efly as pos is compla- ish to inclu- claims. D set forth o	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how of int is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other p	es and locations of all relevant bersons involved in the events to allege a number of related ditional sheets of paper as nec
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and A. In w	efly as positis complaints to include claims. Do set forth or what institu	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how dint is involved in this action, along with the date add further details such as the names of other po not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach add	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related of ditional sheets of paper as nec
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and A. In w	efly as positis complaints to include claims. Do set forth or what institutes are in the	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how on the intime is involved in this action, along with the date and further details such as the names of other proportion on the case of statutes. If you intended the case claim in a separate paragraph. Attach addition did the events giving rise to your claim(s)	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related ditional sheets of paper as nec) occur? aim(s) occur?
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and A. In w	efly as positis complaints to include claims. Do set forth or what institutes are in the	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how dint is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other poon to cite any cases or statutes. If you intendeach claim in a separate paragraph. Attach addition did the events giving rise to your claim(s) institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related of ditional sheets of paper as nec. occur? aim(s) occur?
State as brie caption of th You may wirise to your number and A. In was a second of the Armonia of the You may wirise to your number and the Armonia of the	efly as positis complaints to include the claims. Do set forth the claims at the claims at date a	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how dint is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other poon to cite any cases or statutes. If you intendeach claim in a separate paragraph. Attach addition did the events giving rise to your claim(s) institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the ever to allege a number of relate ditional sheets of paper as not occur? aim(s) occur?

-		
Was		
anyone else		
involved?		
	ı	
Who else saw what happened?	III.	Injuries:
	•	y
	If you	a sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical nent, if any, you required and received.
	IV.	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:
		rison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be
	prisor	ht with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a ner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are ble are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.
	Α.	Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
		Y Y
		Yes No

Case 1:22-cv-06811-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/22/22 Page 13 of 16

	YES, name the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the ents giving rise to your claim(s).		
3.	Does the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance procedure?		
	Yes No Do Not Know		
	Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s arose cover some or all of your claim(s)?		
	Yes No Do Not Know		
	If YES, which claim(s)?		
	Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose		
	Yes No		
	If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail prison, or other correctional facility?		
	Yes No		
	If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file th grievance?		
	1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve?		
	2. What was the result, if any?		
	3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process.		
	If you did not file a grievance:		
	1. If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:		

	2.	If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:
G.	Please remedi	set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative es.
Note:	You m admini	ay attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies.
V.	Relief:	
		want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that g and the basis for such amount).

VI.	Previ	ous lawsuits:			
A.	Have action	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this n?			
	Yes_	No			
В.	there	ar answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using time format.)			
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:			
	Plaintiff				
	2. Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)				
	3.	Docket or Index number			
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case			
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit			
	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No			
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition			
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)			
С.		you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment? No			
D.	there	ar answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using time format.)			
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:			
	Plain	tiff			
	Defei	ndants			
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)			
	3.	Docket or Index number			
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case			
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit			

Rev. 01/2010 6

On these claims

On other claims

Case 1:22-cv-06811-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/22/22 Page 16 of 16

6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No
	If NO, give the approximate date of disposition
7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)
I declare und	der penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Signed this _	day of
	Signature of Plaintiff
	Inmate Number
	Institution Address
	laintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint and provide inmate numbers and addresses.
I declare und	er penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20_, I am delivering
_	t to prison authorities to be mailed to the Pro Se Office of the United States District Court fo
the Southern	District of New York.
	Signature of Plaintiff: